
London Borough of Southwark

Heygate Re-housing Project Version 1, September 2007



Contents

- Project objectives
- Challenge
- Strengths and weaknesses of current approach
- Risks and mitigating actions
- Proposed implementation model
- Team structure and resource requirements
- Project implementation plan
- Next steps

Project Objectives

- Re-house all Heygate residents by September 2009
- Manage impact of the re-housing process on Council as a whole
- Reduced the impact of the re-housing project on vulnerable adults, families, in particular, children and schools
- Ensure staff and residents receive timely, consistent and clear communication about the rehousing project
- Provide Heygate customers with a single point of contact to a multi disciplinary response to the re-housing process
- Proactively support customers in the re-housing process
- Reduce the need for legal proceedings to secure vacant possession
- Proactively manage the physical and social implications of the re-housing process on the Estate and surrounding area

Key challenges

Key Challenges

- Challenging time frame no slack
- Need to win hearts and minds
- Supply side pressures
 - Limited supply for licensees
 - Aylesbury timeline
 - Specific housing needs will be difficult to meet
- Managing customer expectations
 - Perception that promises have been broken undermining trust in the Council
 - Expectations have been raised around quality/location of available stock could lead to disappointment

- Vulnerable, high need population that will need support
- Market value of leaseholder properties is low, the Council will need to support customers to find alternative accommodation
- Estate issues likely to get worse and will need to be managed to avoid high profile incidents
 - After hours safety issues after 8pm
 - Drug and ASB issues (crack houses)
 - Squatters
 - Rodent infestation
 - Maintaining the fabric of the estate
- Large number of partner organisation need to be managed

Strengths and weaknesses of current approach

Strengths

- Strong level of Political and Chief Officer commitment
- Component elements of the process are in place and including supply, allocation, re-housing, estate management and estate safety
- Dedicated and highly experienced staff in place who understand the Council's obligation to key customer groups

Strengths and weaknesses of current approach

Weaknesses

- Management approach
 - Current approach is reactive not proactive
 - Lack of clear measures for success
- Data management
 - Lack of visibility of end to end process and progress monitoring
- People
 - Resistance to change in the way things are managed
 - Lack of customer focus
 - Lack of resources to scale up

- Structural issues
 - Project governance not yet in place resulting in a lack of accountability
 - End to end process is not owned, diluted sense of responsibility
 - Relying on informal network to ensure process is joined up
 - Leaseholders, licensees and tenants are managed by separate teams
 - Squatter are not currently being actively managed out
 - Customer Experience
 - Disjoined customer experience, with multiple handoff across the Council

Lessons learned from past experience:

Risks

Households do not move in time due to:

- Resistance to moving
- Unrealistic housing expectations
- Non engagement with process
- Unclear communication
- Inadequate supply of property

The re-housing process is not managed effectively creating a negative impact:

- On families
- On staff
- On other Council services

Estate falls into decline (physically and socially) resulting in:


- Increase in anti social behaviour
- A major (security) incident PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Measures to reduce risk

- One re housing team managed by a single project manager
- Case management approach to:
 - facilitate a multi disciplinary approach to re-housing people
 - manage resistance and non engagement with the process
- Estate management approach combining housing management, anti social behaviour and enforcement presence to proactively manage estate
- Data management solution to track progress and issues across the project
- Clear communication strategy and dedicated communications manager to lead clear, consistent messages around the process

Proposed implementation model One team, one vision, one leader.....

Proactive, multi disciplinary approach to planning, delivery, communications and the management of risk.



Drawing on a multi agency and multi disciplinary approach to proactively manage the estate to ensure it remains a safe, clean and well maintained.

End to end proactive management of individual cases: including tenants, licensees, leaseholders and squatters.

Drawing on a multidisciplinary response to ensure residents are supported.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The role of a case manager

Case manager will be allocated a portfolio of customers.


Portfolios will be designed to reflect the necessary split between secure tenants, licensee and leaseholder client groups.

The case manager will provide customers within their portfolio with a single interface into a complex Council service.


Their role will involve:

- Meeting with the customer to clarify and confirm needs. This will include but not be limited to housing need (eg: understanding where school age children are involved)
- Ensure that appropriate links are made to other Council services (eg: making link with Schools department)
- Ensure the customer understand the process and imperative to move


- Monitor bidding activity and proactively support clients to bid (eg: making suggestions)
- Identify problems early and raise these for resolution at the reference group (eg: specialist housing need)
- Attend property viewings with the customer in order to encourage take-up of appropriate properties
- Authorising small alternations to property if needed in order to facilitate the move
- Supporting the customer to move by arranging removals, bulk collection and other support services
- Providing customer with an induction pack for new location (including introducing them to the new housing officer)
- Making contact with the customer to ensure they are settled into the new community August 2007



2 Licensee experience - single interface to a joined up solution Assess housing Lowest Priority Highest Priority Property Supporting Integration integration




3 Leaseholder experience – single interface to a joined up solution



PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Unauthorised tenants

- a robust and consistent approach



Linkages with other Council services

> August 2007 Slide 13

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

4

Estate management – a joined up solution

Estate Maintenance

- Unit security
- Unauthorised occupants
- Estate repairs
- Refuse Collection
- Cleaning
- Graffiti removal
- Rodent control

Housing and Sustainable Services






- Crack house eviction
- Drug and alcohol support
- Youth diversion
- Mediation

Community safety and enforcement

Linkages with other Council services




• Police

Security

- PCSOs
- Wardens
- Environmental enforcement
- Night time patrolling

Community safety and enforcement

Core project team structure



Community Development

Officer FTE

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

FTE


Core team roles and responsibilities

Role


Responsibilities

Major Projects Board	Accountable for successful delivery of the project	Strategic direction	
Project Board	Accountable for successful operational delivery of the project	Commit resources Resolve escalated issues	
Project Sponsor	Accountable for the successful operational delivery of the project	Chair the Project Board Commit resources Resolve escalated issues	
Project Manager	Responsible for the day to day management of the project within the time, costs and quality constraints agreed with the project board	Plan, lead, organize and control progress Resolve issues Report progress and escalate issues	
Reference Group	To support implementation project through aligning service response to project requirements and advising the project on the best way forward	Plan, lead and organize support services to support the project delivery. To advise the project manager around the resolution of issues.	
Project Office	To support the project manager in the monitoring and reporting of progress and issues	Assess and monitor supply and demand Monitor and report progress against plan Maintain issues, actions, decision, risk and budget	
Communications and Community Engagement	Responsible for the day to day communications, stakeholder engagement and community engagement activity across the project	Plan, lead and organize all stakeholder and community engagement and communication activity	
Case Management	Responsible for re-housing of all residents on the estate	Plan, lead and organize the end to end re-housing of all tenant groups on the estate	
Estate Management	Responsible for ensuring a well maintained and secure estate throughout the life of the project	Plan, lead and organize a multi disciplinary approach to ensuring a well maintained and secure estate throughout the life of the project	
Legal	Responsible for managing the legal process to secure vacant possession	Plan, lead and organize the end to end legal process for securing vacant possession	

Case management team structure and staffing




Estate management team structure and resources



Team capacity forecast

Modelling Assumptions:

- Re-housing average of 50 customers per month
- Case load of 50 customer at any one time
- Total customer include all secure tenants, licences and leaseholders




Grow team capacity to peak by April 2008. By December 2008 team capacity will become available for the Aylesbury project. 50% of staff costs are currently covered within existing budgets, pending agreement to redeploy resources.

New supply and demand analysis

Assumptions:


- Total demand and total supply for new housing only
- Does not consider customers who have elected to remain secure tenants of the Council
- Total available new supply 1365 units .
- Re-housing 30 per month from Jan 2008 •
- 60% of secure tenants in new homes .
- 50% of leaseholders in new homes
- 100 other tenants with band one status



Crude analysis suggests total available supply of new housing will exceed our demand. Information available does not allow us to match specific housing need and individual preference with supply. There is very low supply for 3 and 4 bedroom accommodation which will likely create a problem. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP August 2007

Slide 20

Estimated Total Project Revenue Budget



	2007/8	2008/9	2009/10
Operating Budget	550,000	500,000	300,000
Staff Costs	450,000	1,700,000	850,000
Total	1,000,000	2,200,000	1,150,000

Project Milestone Plan

Phase	Go Live	Tenants: Issue notice to seek possession (start direct offer process)	Licensee: Issue notice to quite (start direct offer process)	Vacant possession
Phase 1, Kingshill, Swanborne and Wansey (357 units)	w/c 6 Aug 2007	4 March 2007	2 June 2008	8 Dec 2008
Phase 2, Ashenden and Cuddington (322 units)	w/c 14 Jan 2008	18 June 2008	1 Oct 2008	30 Mar 2009
Phase 3, Claydon, Marston, Chearsley and Risborough (428 units)	w/c 17 March 2008	29 Sep 2008	12 Jan 2008	29 June 2009
Phase 4, Wingrave and Rodney Road (105 units)	w/c 02 June 2008	08 Dec 2008	6 April 2008	7 Sept 2009

Planning notes and assumptions

- The biggest risk to securing vacant possession is re housing of leaseholders. The CPO provides a mitigating strategy which can not be implemented until it has been secured in September 2009.
- Within each phase we will issue band one status floor by floor with a 24 hour gap between each group. This will ensure that customer priorities reflect our desire to empty and secure floor by floor and block by block.
- We will provide customers with 6 months to find accommodation using the choice based system. We have sought to maximise the amount of time and available support through this process.
- Will issue secure tenants with a notice to seek possession no later than 9 months before the planned date for vacant possession.

- The notice to seek possession must be supported by a direct offer of permanent accommodation. We will issue only one direct offer to customers.
- We will issue licensees with a notice to quit 6 months before the planned date for vacant possession.
- Leaseholders will immediately undergo a financial assessment process which will determine their capacity to secure alternative housing. Once this has been complete they will be supported to secure appropriate accommodation in accordance with the phasing plan described above.
- Only in exceptional circumstances will we work around the phasing plan to accommodate special requirements.

Reporting project management information

Collated Weekly

- Number bidding
- Number of offers made
- Number of offers accepted
- Number of people scheduled to move
- Total number moved
- Number of squatters
- Total number left on the estate
- Number of properties available by unit size
- Information is broken down by floor, phase and across the estate.
- Information is monitored against project projection

Collated Monthly

- Number of school aged children left on estate
- Impact on local school roles
- Anti social behaviour incidents
- Reputation (press clippings)
- Repairs logged and resolved
- Areas secured

Audience

 Monthly report summarising progress to Project Board, Project Sponsor and reference group, Executive Member briefing

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it.

© 2007 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. 'PricewaterhouseCoopers' refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (a limited liability partnership in the United Kingdom) or, as the context requires, other member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity. Design 0701016pic(vcl)

0/0

\$